Introduced by Assembly Member Cedillo

February 10, 2000

An act to add Section 16318 to the Government Code, relating to use of state funds.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1889, as introduced, Cedillo. State funds: use to discourage unionization.

Nothing in existing law prohibits recipients of state funds from using the funds to discourage unionization.

This bill would prohibit any employer of more than one person that receives state funds, as defined, from using the funds to discourage unionization by the recipient's employees or any other employees. The bill would also prohibit such an employer that derives revenue from state property through a lease, concession contract, or other agreement from discouraging unionization by his or her employees. The bill would require every contract for the payment of state funds to an employer covered by the bill to contain a covenant that the employer will comply with the bill. The bill would authorize any labor organization or collective bargaining representative file a complaint with Labor to the Commissioner for alleged violation of the bill and would require the Labor Commissioner to conduct a hearing and determine whether, by a preponderance of the evidence, it is likely that the employer has used state funds to discourage unionization. Upon making that finding, the bill would AB 1889 -2-

require the Labor Commissioner to order the employer to keep prescribed records sufficient to show whether the employer has committed further violations of the bill. Employers subject to this recordkeeping requirement would also be required to make specified quarterly reports to the Labor Commissioner and to certify, under penalty of perjury, compliance with the bill's limitations on use of state funds. The bill would authorize the Labor Commissioner to audit the records of employers subject to the bill's recordkeeping and reporting requirements to ensure compliance with the bill. The bill would make any employer ineligible to receive state funds if the employer has been certified by the Labor Commissioner to be in willful or material violation of the bill's recordkeeping or reporting requirements or to have failed or refused to provide records for an audit under the bill, unless and until the Labor Commissioner certifies that the employer is in full compliance. The bill would require the Labor Commissioner to adopt regulations to implement the bill.

The bill would make any employer who knowingly authorizes or permits expenditure of state funds in violation of the bill liable to the state for damages equal to double the amount of the expenditure, plus reasonable attorney's fees and costs. The bill would authorize any taxpayer to bring an action to recover those damages on behalf of the state and would entitle a prevailing taxpayer to his or her attorney's fees.

The bill would make any employer that has been determined by a final judgment of a court to have used state funds in violation of the bill ineligible to receive state funds for a period of 3 years from the date the judgment is entered.

The bill would not apply to payments made before January 1, 2001, to payments for goods or services provided before that date, or to contracts entered into before that date until amended, extended, or renewed. The bill would expressly provide that it does not require disclosure of names of certain private donors to specified nonprofit corporations exempt from federal income taxation.

This bill would not apply to the University of California.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

-3-**AB 1889**

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

3

4

11

14

17 18

24

27

28 29

31

SECTION 1. Section 16318 is added the Government Code, to read:

- 16318. (a) The Legislature finds and declares the following:
- (1) It is the policy of the state, declared in Section 923 5 6 of the Labor Code, and the policy of the National Labor Relations Act to recognize the right of employees to freedom of association in the workplace and freedom of 9 choice in who will represent employees in collective 10 bargaining.
- (2) It is the policy of the state that workers should be 12 free to choose their bargaining representative without 13 interference from the state.
- (3) The state currently places no limitation on the use 15 of its funds to discourage unionization and, as a result, 16 state funds have, in fact, been used to discourage unionization.
- (4) The expenditure of state funds to support an 19 employer's opposition to unionization of the employer's 20 workers does not serve the purposes for which the state 21 funds were provided to the recipient and, thus, the expenditure of those funds to oppose unionization is a 23 misuse and waste of state funds.
- (5) Section 9 of Article IX of the California 25 Constitution precludes applying this section to 26 University of California.
- (b) Therefore, it is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this act to ensure that state funds are not used discourage employees from choosing union 30 representation.
 - (c) For purposes of this section:
- (1) "Employer" 32 any individual, means government 33 agency or entity, corporation, unincorporated 34 association, partnership, or other legal entity that 35 employs more than one person, but does not include the 36 University of California.
- (2) "Receive state funds" means to receive state funds 37 38 pursuant to a payment to a health care provider, a grant,

AB 1889

12

13 14

15

17

21

22

37

a competitively bid contract, or reimbursement for services, and also includes receipt by a subcontractor of payment for the performance of services purchased by or 4 funded by the state. A manufacturer only receives state 5 funds in connection with a sale of goods if the goods are 6 manufactured in the state.

- (3) "State funds" means any money drawn from the 8 State Treasury or any special or trust fund of the state, and any state resources, in the form of goods or services, that 10 are provided to any employer. "State funds" also means the value of those goods and services. "State funds" includes revenues or other funds received by a party to a concession contract with the state from any third party pursuant to that contract.
- (4) "Unionization" means organization of employees 16 for the purpose of collective bargaining.
- (5) A recipient of state funds is deemed to use the state 18 funds by applying the funds to operating expenses, such as employee compensation, supplies, maintenance, utilities, or by applying the funds to capital facilities or equipment.
- (6) A use of state funds shall be deemed for the 23 purpose of discouraging unionization if it directly or indirectly supports or is in furtherance of (A) any 25 communication in any form that advocates or directly or implication suggests that employees should vote against representation by a union for purposes of (B) hiring or consulting legal 28 collective bargaining, counsel or other consultants to advise on how to deter 30 unionization or how to impede a labor organization that 31 represents employees from fulfilling its representation 32 responsibilities. (C) holding meetings to employees not to join or form a labor organization for the 34 purpose of collective bargaining, or (D) planning or 35 conducting activities by employer supervisors to deter 36 the activities of a labor organization.
- (d) (1) No employer who receives state funds shall 38 use, either directly or indirectly, those funds in whole or in part to discourage unionization by that employer's employees or any other employees. No employer who

AB 1889

derives revenue from property owned by the state and used by that employer through lease, concession contract, or other agreement shall discourage unionization by his or her employees who are employed on or in relation to 5 that state property.

6

12

16

17

19

21

22

25

29

31

35

- (2) Nothing in this section limits the right of individuals who are not supervisors, managers, consultants, attorneys, advisers, or contractors of a recipient of state funds to advocate for or against 10 unionization in the facilities of the recipient to the extent not precluded by applicable law.
- (3) Nothing in this section limits the right of any 13 employer or union to engage in lawful activities relating 14 to the negotiation and enforcement of a collective 15 bargaining agreement.
 - (4) Every contract for the payment of state funds to an employer shall contain a covenant that the employer will comply with this section.
- (e) For purposes of this section, each recipient of state 20 funds shall account for the state funds in accordance with the following:
- (1) State funds designated by the state for use for, or 23 to reimburse, a specific expenditure of the recipient shall be accounted for as being allocated to that expenditure.
 - (2) State funds that are not so designated shall be allocated on a pro rata basis to all expenditures of the recipient that support or are related to the purpose for which the state funds are received.
- (f) The Labor Commissioner shall adopt regulations to 30 implement the requirements of this section.
- (g) (1) A labor organization or collective bargaining 32 representative at any time may file a complaint with the Labor Commissioner alleging that an employer that has 34 a contract with the state is in violation of paragraph (1) of subdivision (d).
- (2) Within 30 days of receiving a complaint under 36 37 paragraph (1), the Labor Commissioner shall conduct a hearing to determine whether the alleged violation has occurred.

AB 1889 -6-

10

12

13

17

20

26

31

33

37

Commissioner (3) The Labor shall make determination and render a decision within 10 days following conclusion of the hearing.

- (4) If the Labor Commissioner determines, by a 5 preponderance of the evidence, that it appears likely that the employer has violated paragraph (1) of subdivision (d), the Labor Commissioner shall order the employer thereafter to keep accurate and complete records of the employer's expenditures of all state funds received by the employer. The records shall be sufficient to show whether employer has used state funds to discourage unionization.
- subject (5) Each employer to the recordkeeping 14 requirements of paragraph (4) during a calendar quarter shall prepare and submit to the Labor Commissioner, 16 within 30 days following the end of the quarter, a report specifying each expenditure of state funds and each 18 expenditure of funds to discourage unionization made by 19 the employer during that quarter.
- (6) The report required by paragraph (5) shall include 21 a statement that the representations made are true, correct, and contain no material omissions of fact to the best knowledge and belief of the employer submitting the certification. A violation of this paragraph is a 25 misdemeanor.
- (7) The Labor Commissioner, on his or her own 27 initiative or in response to a complaint the Labor 28 Commissioner deems credible, may at any time audit the 29 records of an employer subject to the requirements of this 30 subdivision to ensure compliance with this section.
- (8) Following a certification the 32 Commissioner that anv employer willfully has materially failed to comply with the recordkeeping paragraph (4) 34 requirements of or the reporting 35 requirements of paragraph (5), or has failed or refused to 36 promptly provide the Labor Commissioner or his or her designated representative access to the employer's 38 records for the purpose of conducting an audit pursuant to paragraph (7), the person shall be ineligible to receive state funds until the Labor Commissioner certifies that

- 7 — **AB 1889**

the employer is in full compliance with those 2 requirements.

3

9

10 11

12 13

14

16 17

20

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

- (h) (1) Any employer who knowingly authorizes or permits an expenditure of state funds in violation of this section shall be liable to the state for civil damages equal to twice the amount of the expenditure, plus reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Any taxpayer may bring an action to recover these civil damages on behalf of the state, provided that both of the following conditions exist:
- (A) The taxpayer has first served a copy of the complaint on the Attorney General with a written disclosure of substantially all material evidence information the taxpayer possesses.
- (B) The Attorney General has either notified the 15 taxpayer that the Attorney General has agreed to bring an action to recover funds expended in violation of this section or, after 30 days, the Attorney General has failed 18 to agree to bring an action to recover the funds expended in violation of this section.
- (2) If the Attorney General agrees to bring an action 21 to recover the funds expended in violation of this section, the action shall be brought within 60 days of notifying the taxpayer of the intent of the Attorney General to do so, and the taxpayer may intervene in the action.
 - (3) In any action brought under paragraph (1), all of the following apply:
 - (A) The Attorney General may intervene in any action brought by a taxpayer at any time.
 - (B) The action may be dismissed only if the court and Attorney General give written consent to the dismissal and their reasons for that consent.
- (C) The Attorney General shall have the primary responsibility for prosecuting any action that the 34 Attorney General initiates or in which he or 35 intervenes, and shall not be bound by an act of the person 36 bringing the action.
- (D) The Attorney General may dismiss the action 37 38 notwithstanding the objections of the taxpayer initiating the action if the taxpayer has been notified by the Attorney General of the filing of the motion and the court

AB 1889 **—8**—

3

5

8

12

16

20

25

32

38

has provided the person with an opportunity for a hearing on the motion.

- (E) The Attorney General may settle the action with defendant notwithstanding the objections of the taxpayer initiating the action if the court determines, after a hearing, that the proposed settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable under all the circumstances.
- (F) Upon a showing by the Attorney General or a defendant that unrestricted participation during 10 course of the litigation by the taxpayer initiating the would interfere with or unduly delay of the case, or would be repetitious, prosecution 13 irrelevant, or for purposes of harassment, the court may, 14 in its discretion, impose appropriate limitations on the taxpayer's participation. 15
- (4) In any action brought under paragraph (1) in 17 which the defendant is found to have violated this section, 18 the taxpayer shall recover as part of the judgment his or her reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- final judgment of a court of (5) A 21 jurisdiction holding that employer has an violated paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) is a ground for 23 debarment of state funds for three years from the date the 24 judgment is entered.
- (i) This section does not apply to state funds received 26 by an employer (1) prior to January 1, 2001, (2) in consideration of goods supplied or services rendered prior to January 1, 2001, or (3) under a state contract 29 entered into prior to January 1, 2001, until that contract 30 is extended, renewed, or amended on or after January 1, 2001.
- (i) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require 33 an employer that is a nonprofit corporation exempt from 34 federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the 35 federal Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. 36 501(c)(3)) to disclose the names of private donors of donations that are lawfully deductible from federal income tax payable by the private donors.
- (k) The provisions of this section are severable. If any provision of this section or its application is held invalid,

AB 1889

1 that invalidity shall not affect any other provision or 2 application that can be given effect without the invalid 3 provision or application.